

ECOLOGICAL Society of America

Ecology/Ecological Monographs/Ecological Applications

PREPRINT

This preprint is a PDF of a manuscript that has been accepted for publication in an ESA journal. It is the final version that was uploaded and approved by the author(s). While the paper has been through the usual rigorous peer review process of ESA journals, it has not been copy-edited, nor have the graphics and tables been modified for final publication. Also note that the paper may refer to online Appendices and/or Supplements that are not yet available. We have posted this preliminary version of the manuscript online in the interest of making the scientific findings available for distribution and citation as quickly as possible following acceptance. However, readers should be aware that the final, published version will look different from this version and may also have some differences in content.

The doi for this manuscript and the correct format for citing the paper are given at the top of the online (html) abstract.

Once the final published version of this paper is posted online, it will replace the preliminary version at the specified doi.

- *Running Head:* Long-term drought-induced morbidity
- *Title:* Multi-year drought-induced morbidity preceding tree death in Southeastern US forests

Authors: Aaron B. Berdanier^{1,2,4} and James S. Clark^{2,3}

- ⁷ ¹University Program in Ecology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA.
- ⁸ ²Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA.
- ³Department of Statistical Science, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA.
- 10 ⁴E-mail: aaron.berdanier@gmail.com

Abstract. Recent forest diebacks combined with threats of future drought focus attention on the 12 extent to which tree death is caused by catastrophic events as opposed to chronic declines in 13 health that accumulate over years. While recent attention has focused on large-scale diebacks, 14 there is concern that increasing drought stress and chronic morbidity may have pervasive impacts 15 on forest composition in many regions. Here we use long-term, whole-stand inventory data from 16 Southeastern US forests to show that trees exposed to drought experience multi-year declines in 17 growth prior to mortality. Following a severe, multi-year drought, 72% of trees that did not 18 recover their pre-drought growth rates died within 10 years. This pattern was mediated by local 19 moisture availability. As an index of morbidity prior to death, we calculated the difference in 20 cumulative growth after drought relative to surviving conspecifics. The strength of drought-21 induced morbidity varied among species and was correlated with drought tolerance. These 22 findings support the ability of trees to avoid death during drought events but indicate shifts that 23 could occur over decades. Tree mortality following drought is predictable in these ecosystems 24 based on growth declines, highlighting an opportunity to address multi-year drought-induced 25 morbidity in models, experiments, and management decisions. 26

27

28 *Key words:* tree, drought, mortality, morbidity, long-term, forest, adaptive management

30

INTRODUCTION

The risk of tree mortality from drought stress threatens forest structure and function at 31 multiple time scales (Anderegg et al. 2013a, Choat et al. 2012, Dietze and Moorcroft 2011) with 32 potentially important ecological and economic consequences. The expectation of increasing 33 frequency and severity of drought events in the future (Li et al. 2011, Dai 2012) may require 34 novel forest policy and management practices (Millar et al. 2007, Puettmann 2011). However, 35 attributing tree mortality to discrete drought events can be difficult because tree mortality rates 36 are typically low and many of the long-term data sets used to analyze drought effects have 37 38 intervals of multiple years between measurements (Klos et al. 2009, Metcalf et al. 2009). These challenges create uncertainties in efforts to predict and model drought-induced tree mortality 39 (McDowell et al. 2011). This study provides information on the time scale of tree decline 40 following severe drought across sites and species. 41 Tree mortality trends during and after drought can be obscured by the multiple,

42 interacting factors that contribute to declining health (Franklin et al. 1987), termed morbidity. 43 For example, trees that die during drought are often susceptible before the drought occurs (Ogle 44 et al. 2000, Macalady and Bugmann 2014). Species are expected to differ in their vulnerability 45 46 and exposure to stress within a site, which can create variation among individuals in mortality risk (Clark et al. 2010). Trees also have multiple ways to avoid stress during drought (e.g., 47 resistance to cavitation, stomatal control, xylem refilling [Meinzer et al. 2010, McDowell et al. 48 49 2011), although these mechanisms can progressively degrade over time and multiple drought events (Anderegg et al. 2013a). Additionally, drought events could incite morbidity and increase 50 future mortality risk for surviving trees (Pedersen 1998). The extent to which morbidity induced 51 52 by and following drought could contribute to mortality across species and environments has not

been quantified. If extensive, drought-induced morbidity may signal risks for forests beyond
well-publicized die-off events (Archaux and Walters 2006, Franklin et al. 1987).

- Here, we assess tree decline following drought with whole-stand data of annual tree 55 records from forests in two regions of the Southeastern US, including >28,000 trees from 35 56 species over 20 years (1993–2012). We tracked individual trees for up to a decade after a severe, 57 prolonged drought in 2000-2002 across two distinct climatic zones, the Piedmont Plateau (MAT 58 = 15.5° C, MAP = 1140 mm) and the southern Appalachian Mountains (MAT = 12.6° C, MAP = 59 1805 mm). We test the hypothesis that mortality can follow a progressive, drought-induced 60 decline in growth by examining the effects of post-drought recovery and local moisture 61 availability on decadal tree survival after this drought. We consider the factors that contributed to 62 recovery for the trees that survived. Then we use these responses to develop a new index that 63 quantifies trends in morbidity leading up to death across species, based on the difference in 64 cumulative growth between comparable individuals that survived and died. 65
- 66
- 67

METHODS

Observations of individual trees come from long-term demographic monitoring plots in 68 69 the southern Appalachian Mountains (Coweeta Hydrologic Laboratory, Macon County) and the Piedmont Plateau (Duke Forest, Orange County) of North Carolina. Data on individual tree 70 growth (Clark et al. 2007) and survival (Wyckoff and Clark 2002, Metcalf et al. 2009) were 71 72 collected from each plot over a period of 8 to 21 years. Each tree has a unique observation history, and data models are fit to observations to trace each individual's growth and survival 73 probability annually. From 28,879 measured trees, 5,818 died during the study. Further details on 74 75 field methods, models, and diagnostics can be found in Clark et al. (2010). Each individual was

exposed to the site-level environmental conditions as well as local environmental variation. We used the topographic wetness index (ω_i), calculated with local elevation data, as an indicator of tree moisture availability. The topographic wetness index describes local drainage patterns in a catchment and provides a relative measure of soil moisture availability in these forests (Moore et al. 1993, Hwang et al. 2009, Berdanier and Clark *unpublished data*). We also considered the influence of local basal area, calculated as the summed basal area of neighbors within 10m of each tree, and the change in local basal area over the study period.

Individual growth rates were quantified with estimates of annual diameter increment (cm 83 yr⁻¹). For relative growth vigor preceding mortality (γ), we identified all individuals that died 84 during the study and, for each individual that died (i), we selected conspecific trees (same 85 species, same plot) that survived for the entire study (*j*) and calculated the average growth rate in 86 each year (g_i) . Then, we took the log ratio of the growth rate for each dead tree $(g_{i,i})$ versus the 87 growth rate of the average conspecific in each year prior to their death, $\gamma_{t,i} = \ln(g_{t,i}/\overline{g_{t,j}})$. 88 Negative vigor values indicate growth rates that are lower in dead trees than in surviving trees. 89 For comparisons of individual growth before and after drought (ρ , an indicator of 90 recovery or morbidity), we averaged the post-drought growth rates across surviving years (for 91 trees that died) or the remainder of the study (for trees that survived) for each individual to 92 estimate growth after drought ($\overline{g_{post,l}}$). Then, we calculated the average pre-drought growth rate 93 for each individual $(\overline{g_{pre,l}})$ and used the log ratio of average growth rates after and before the 94 drought as an index of recovery $\rho_i = \ln(\overline{g_{post,i}}/\overline{g_{pre,i}})$. Here, negative values indicate lower 95 growth after the drought than before the drought. We assumed recovery if post-drought growth 96 rate ratios were greater than the 10th percentile of surviving trees. We tested the effects of 97

- 98 individual growth recovery (ρ_i) and individual wetness conditions (ω_i) on decadal survival (s_i) 99 following the 2000–2002 drought with a Bayesian logistic regression,
- 100 $s_i \sim Bernoulli(\pi_i)$,
- 101 $\operatorname{logit}(\pi_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \rho_i + \beta_2 \omega_i + \beta_3 \rho_i \omega_i.$

Parameters were fit across species and sites that were exposed to and survived the 2000–2002 drought (n = 11,662). For surviving trees, we examined the effect of tree size (natural log of individual diameter) and the change in local basal area (natural log of basal area loss within 10m) on individual growth recovery with a Bayesian linear regression. We used uninformative normal priors on all regression parameters and ran 50,000 MCMC iterations with the *MCMCpack* library in R to generate posterior estimates of each parameter.

To calculate cumulative morbidity for each individual that died (χ_i) , we first summed the 108 growth between the drought and eventual mortality (d_i) , $G_i = \sum_{t=2003}^{d_i} g_{i,t}$. Next, we summed the 109 growth for each surviving conspecific (j,i) over the same time period and took the average across 110 survivors, $G_{j,i} = \overline{\sum_{t=2003}^{d_i} g_{j,t}}$. Then, we calculated the log ratio of the cumulative growth for the 111 average conspecific over the time period versus that of each dead tree, $\chi_i = \ln(G_{i,i}/G_i)$. We 112 generated summary statistics for each species that had at least 10 dead individuals with these 113 individual measures and compared these values to a species drought-tolerance index that 114 115 incorporates information on physiological responses to water stress and habitat occurrence observations (Niinemets and Valladares 2006). 116

117

RESULTS

Three severe drought events occurred during the 20 year study, including a prolonged
drought in 2000–2002 that was preceded by a decade of mesic conditions on average. During this
drought, the June-July-August Palmer Drought Severity Index (JJA PDSI) in the Appalachian

Mountains exceeded the 90th percentile of 100-year drought severity and the 2002 JJA PDSI in 121 the Piedmont Plateau was in the 96th percentile. Survival rates remained high across these events 122 and showed no relationship with drought severity between censuses (Appendix A, Table A1). In 123 contrast, we found decreased growth during drought years across sites and species (Appendix A, 124 Table A2). For the trees that died during drought years (n = 823, 14% of total deaths), 87% had 125 pre-drought growth rates that were below the average for conspecific individuals in the same 126 stands over periods of 2 to 5 years. Declining growth rates suggest that these trees were 127 susceptible for multiple years before the drought, consistent with tree-ring observations during 128 drought events in other ecosystems (e.g. Macalady and Bugmann 2014). For the remaining trees 129 that survived the drought, we examined whether drought exposure further affected their long-130 term growth and survival. 131

We compared the health statuses of trees that survived and died after droughts to account 132 for pre-drought risk. Tree mortality can follow declines in radial stem growth over multiple years 133 (Waring 1987, Wyckoff and Clark 2002), which we observed as a decline in vigor compared to 134 conspecific trees (Fig. 1). We examined if the growth rates of trees changed following drought 135 and, if so, whether it was associated with a decline in survival. We found that decadal survival 136 137 for trees that were exposed to the 2000–2002 drought was positively related to both post-drought recovery ($\beta_1 = 5.64, 95\%$ credible interval [CI] = 5.26–6.05) and tree moisture availability ($\beta_2 =$ 138 139 0.14, 95% CI = 0.08-0.19), a relationship that was consistent across sites and species. Decadal 140 survival was independent of local basal area before the drought, consistent with findings in other 141 studies (Klos et al. 2009, Floyd et al. 2009, Ganey and Vojta 2011).

142 Trees that survived the drought but died within the next 10 years had lower growth rates 143 on average for the rest of their lives than they did prior to drought (54 and 71% of pre-drought

144 levels in the Piedmont Plateau and Appalachian Mountains) (Fig. 2). This pattern held regardless of the post-drought time interval examined, although it was exacerbated following exposure to 145 additional droughts (Appendix A, Fig. A1). Similar to the trees that died during drought and 146 consistent with our observation of multi-year declines prior to death (Fig. 1), 64% of the trees 147 that died in the next 10 years had pre-drought growth rates that were lower than the average 148 surviving conspecific. Those trees were performing poorly compared to their neighbors prior to 149 the drought and experienced further growth declines after the drought. Trees that survived for 150 over 10 years following the drought resumed pre-drought growth patterns and had higher growth 151 rates than before the drought on average while trees that ultimately died showed continued 152 morbidity. For the trees that survived, their growth recovery was positively related to both tree 153 size (posterior mean effect = 0.129, 95% CI = 0.121-0.137) and, less strongly, the loss of 154 neighbor basal area over the study period (posterior mean effect = 0.016, 95% CI = 0.007– 155 0.024). Of all trees that did not recover pre-drought growth rates, 72% died within 10 years. 156 Survival was higher for trees in wet local environments than those in dry areas, in 157 agreement with another study in this region (Klos et al. 2009). The negative effect of declining 158 growth on survival was amplified in dry locations, based on the positive interaction between 159 drought recovery and tree moisture availability from the topographic wetness index ($\beta_3 = 0.38$, 160 95% CI = 0.20-0.56). In contrast, survival in the relatively mesic period preceding this drought 161 162 (1993–1999) responded similarly to growth declines but showed no association with the 163 topographic wetness index (Appendix A, Table A3). While the multi-year declines in growth 164 preceding mortality are similar between the two distinct time periods, these declines were greatest for trees in dry local environments only after the drought. 165

166 Our morbidity measure quantifies the cumulative differences in growth between trees that die and comparable survivors and provides an index for the risk of mortality from growth stress 167 following drought. The cumulative morbidity for trees that were exposed to the 2000-2002 168 drought and ultimately died varied by species (Fig. 3), with most species showing a pattern of 169 decline that extended for multiple years. Averaged across species, mortality risk increased when 170 the cumulative diameter growth fell below 54% of the growth of surviving conspecifics (range 171 across species = 21-125%), consistent with our observation that mortality is a multi-year process 172 (Fig 1). The only species that experienced mortality at growth rates above surviving conspecifics 173 on average (negative morbidity) was *Tsuga canadensis*, which is currently experiencing rapid 174 declines by an exotic insect that equally targets healthy trees (Nuckolls et al. 2009). The average 175 species morbidity index values were correlated with a species drought-tolerance index (r = 0.713, 176 Fig. 4) (Niinemets and Valladares 2006), where species characterized as drought intolerant were 177 relatively unable to withstand large cumulative depressions in growth prior to mortality. 178

- 179
- 180

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate a pattern of multi-year declines in tree growth following a severe 181 drought across sites in the Southeastern US. These declines were related to a reduced survival 182 probability over the following decade and associated with local drought severity. While most of 183 the trees that were unable to recover from this stress ultimately died, the cumulative morbidity 184 185 that trees experienced prior to death varied among species (Fig. 3), indicating possible shifts in species composition under different climate scenarios. Dying trees that have high morbidity prior 186 to death are under stress relative to surviving conspecifics and are prone to die in the years after 187 drought because of their inability to recover (Fig. 2), especially if they experience subsequent 188

droughts. The finding that drought can set in motion protracted morbidity leading to eventual death suggests that drought frequency and severity may already be changing forest composition and structure beyond regions where large diebacks are observed (Allen et al. 2010). For most species here, drought stress contributes to deteriorating health over multiple years, exacerbated by dry habitats.

Our observation that mortality can be prolonged following drought events could result 194 from interactions between hydraulic status, carbon balance, and additional contributing factors 195 that lead to growth morbidity and predispose an individual to die (Pedersen 1998, McDowell et 196 197 al. 2011). For example, while trees may avoid systemic hydraulic failure over short time periods (Fisher et al. 2006, Plaut et al. 2012), non-lethal loss of canopy conductance could be a precursor 198 for multi-year morbidity (Anderegg et al. 2013a). Moreover, many of the trees that died during 199 200 and after drought had lower vigor prior to the drought than surviving conspecifics, indicating potential predisposition to drought vulnerability, possibly from interactions with pathogens, 201 insects, or habitat (Franklin et al. 1987, Anderegg et al. 2015). 202

The long-term nature of tree decline following drought in Southeastern US forests has 203 important implications for the monitoring and management of drought impacts in these 204 205 ecosystems (Anderegg et al. 2013b). The relationship between the ability to endure cumulative morbidity prior to death and species drought tolerance (Fig. 4) highlights differing degrees of 206 vulnerability among species. Our cumulative morbidity measure, combined with the relationship 207 208 between growth and mortality, may provide accurate predictions for forest responses to droughts of varying frequency and intensity in dynamic global vegetation models, which already have 209 210 strong growth modules (McDowell et al. 2011). Field monitoring and adaptive management efforts can focus on growth morbidity as a predictor of drought mortality with particular 211

attention to drought intolerant species, which may die relatively suddenly, and trees that showgrowth depressions after drought, which may be more likely to die in the following years.

The importance of growth recovery after drought for long-term survival raises questions 214 about why the survivors were able to recover. We found that growth recovery among survivors 215 was higher for larger trees and for trees that lost more of their neighboring basal area. We 216 hypothesize that both of these responses could be influenced by individual access to soil 217 moisture. Large individuals may have access to deep soil layers that are inaccessible to smaller 218 neighbors (Donovan and Ehleringer 1991) and the loss of neighboring trees may alleviate stress 219 220 by increasing local light and moisture availability (Aussenac and Granier 1988, Bréda et al. 1995, Elkin et al. 2015). This pattern supports the multi-year advantages of thinning as a tool to 221 reduce mortality risk by reducing post-drought morbidity (D'Amato et al. 2013). Thinning that 222 223 promotes growth recovery may forestall mortality for at-risk trees. Future studies can explicitly test these hypotheses and assess the influence of physiological status on growth morbidity across 224 species and environments. 225

226

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by NSF grant EF-1137364 and the Coweeta LTER. For comments on
the manuscript we thank Ryan Kelly, Matthew Kwit, Chantal Reid, Bradley Tomasek, and two
anonymous reviewers.

230

232	LITERATURE CITED
233	Allen, C. D., et al. 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals
234	emerging climate change risks for forests. Forest Ecology and Management 259:660–684.
235	Anderegg W. R. L., L. Plavcová, L. D. L. Anderegg, U. G. Hacke, J. A. Berry, and C. B. Field.
236	2013a. Drought's legacy: multiyear hydraulic deterioration underlies widespread aspen forest
237	die-off and portends increased future risk. Global Change Biology 19:1188–1196.
238	Anderegg, W. R. L., J. M. Keane, and L. D. L. Anderegg. 2013b. Consequences of widespread
239	tree mortality triggered by drought and temperature stress. Nature Climate Change 3:30–36.
240	Anderegg, W. R. L., et al. 2015. Tree mortality from drought, insects, and their interactions in a
241	changing climate. New Phytologist doi: 10.1111/nph.13477
242	Archaux, F., and V. Walters. 2006. Impact of summer drought on forest biodiversity. Annals of
243	Forest Science 63:645–652.
244	Aussenac, G., and A. Granier. 1988. Effects of thinning on water stress and growth in Douglas-
245	fir. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 18:100–105.
246	Bréda, N., A. Granier, and G. Aussenac. 1995. Effects of thinning on soil and tree water
247	relations, transpiration and growth in an oak forest (Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.). Tree
248	Physiology 15:295–306.
249	Choat, B., et al. 2012. Global convergence in the vulnerability of forests to drought. Nature
250	491:752–755.
251	Clark, J. S., et al. 2010. High dimensional coexistence based on individual variation: a synthesis
252	of evidence. Ecological Monographs 80:567–608.
253	Clark, J. S., et al. 2007. Tree growth inference and prediction from diameter censuses and ring
254	widths. Ecological Applications 17:1942–1953.

- 255 D'Amato, A. W., J. B. Bradford, S. Fraver, and B. J. Palik. 2013. Effects of thinning on drought
- vulnerability and climate response in north temperate forest ecosystems. Ecological

257 Applications 23:1735–1742.

- 258 Dai, A. 2012. Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. Nature
- 259 Climate Change 3:52–58.
- Dietze, M. C., and P. R. Moorcroft. 2011. Tree mortality in the eastern and central United States:
 patterns and drivers. Global Change Biology 17:3312–3326.
- 262 Donovan, L. A., and J. R. Ehleringer. 1991. Ecophysiological differences among pre-
- reproductive and reproductive classes of several woody species. Oecologia 86:594–597
- Elkin, C., A. Giuggiola, A. Rigling, and H. Bugmann 2015. Short- and long-term efficacy of
- forest thinning to mitigate drought impacts in mountain forests in the European Alps.
- Ecological Applications 25:1083–1098.
- 267 Fisher, R. A., M. Williams, R. L. Do Vale, A. L. Da Costa, and P. Meir. 2006. Evidence from
- Amazonian forests is consistent with isohydric control of leaf water potential. Plant, Cell &
- 269 Environment 29:151–165.
- 270 Floyd, M. L., M. Clifford, N. S. Cobb, D. Hanna, R. Delph, P. Ford, and D. Turner. 2009.
- 271 Relationship of stand characteristics to drought-induced mortality in three Southwestern
- piñon–juniper woodlands. Ecological Applications 19:1223–1230.
- Franklin, J. F., H. H. Shugart, and M. E. Harmon. 1987. Tree death as an ecological process.
 BioScience 37:550–556.
- 275 Ganey, J. L., and S. C. Vojta. 2011. Tree mortality in drought-stressed mixed-conifer and
- ponderosa pine forests, Arizona, USA. Forest Ecology and Management 261:162–168.

- 277 Hwang, T., L. E. Band, and T. C. Hales. 2009. Ecosystem processes at the watershed scale:
- Extending optimality theory from plot to catchment. Water Resources Research, 45:W11425.
- Klos, R. J., G. G. Wang, W. L. Bauerle, and J. R. Rieck. 2009. Drought impact on forest growth
- and mortality in the southeast USA: an analysis using Forest Health and Monitoring data.
- Ecological Applications 19:699–708.
- Li, W., L. Li, R. Fu, Y. Deng, and H. Wang. 2011. Changes to the North Atlantic subtropical
- high and its role in the intensification of summer rainfall variability in the southeastern
- United States. Journal of Climate 24:1499–1506.
- 285 Macalady, A. K., and H. Bugmann. 2014. Growth-mortality relationships in piñon pine (*Pinus*
- *edulis*) during severe droughts of the past century: shifting processes in space and time. PLoS
 One 9:e92770.
- McDowell, N. G., et al. 2011. The interdependence of mechanisms underlying climate-driven
 vegetation mortality. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 26:523–532.
- 290 Meinzer, F. C., K. A. McCulloh, B. Lachenbruch, D. R. Woodruff, and D. M. Johnson. 2010.
- 291 The blind men and the elephant: the impact of context and scale in evaluating conflicts

between plant hydraulic safety and efficiency. Oecologia 164: 287–296.

- 293 Metcalf, C. J. E., S. M. McMahon, and J. S. Clark. 2009. Overcoming data sparseness and
- 294 parametric constraints in modeling of tree mortality: a new nonparametric Bayesian model.
- 295 Canadian Journal of Forest Research 39:1677–1687.
- 296 Millar, C. I., N. L. Stephenson, and S. L. Stephens. 2007. Climate change and forests of the
- future: managing in the face of uncertainty. Ecological Applications 17:2145–2151.
- 298 Moore, J. D., P. E Gessler, G. A. Nielsen, and G. A. Peterson. 1993. Soil attribute prediction
- using terrain analysis. Soil Science Society of America Journal 57:433–452.

- Niinemets, Ü., and F. Valladares. 2006. Tolerance to shade, drought, and waterlogging of
- temperate Northern Hemisphere trees and shrubs. Ecological Monographs 76:521–547.
- 302 Nuckolls, A. E., et al. 2009. Hemlock declines rapidly with hemlock woolly adelgid infestation:
- impacts on the carbon cycle of southern Appalachian forests. Ecosystems 12:179–190.
- Ogle, K., T. G. Whitham, and N. S. Cobb. 2000. Tree-ring variation in pinyon predicts likelihood
- of death following severe drought. Ecology 81:3237–3243.
- Pedersen, B. S. 1998. The role of stress in the mortality of Midwestern oaks as indicated by
 growth prior to death. Ecology 79:79–93.
- 308 Plaut, J. A., et al. 2012. Hydraulic limits preceding mortality in a piñon-juniper woodland under
- experimental drought. Plant, Cell & Environment 35:1601–1617.
- 310 Puettmann, K. J. 2011. Silvicultural challenges and options in the context of global change:
- 311 "simple" fixes and opportunities for new management approaches. Journal of Forestry312 109:321–331.
- van Mangtem, P. J., et al. 2009. Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the Western
- 314 United States. Science 323:521–524.
- Waring, R. H. 1987. Characteristics of trees predisposed to die. BioScience 37:561–583.
- 316 Wyckoff, P. H., and J. S. Clark. 2002. The relationship between growth and mortality for seven
- 317 co-occurring tree species in the southern Appalachian Mountains. Journal of Ecology
- **318** 90:604–615.
- 319

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

320

Ecological Archives

Appendix A: Annual survival rates, pre-drought survival, and progressive declines after multipledrought events

FIG. 1. Decline in growth vigor prior to death (γ in text). Growth rates of trees that died were lower than those of average surviving comparable trees for multiple years prior to death (line and dark shading shows mean ± SE) although there was large variation across individuals (light gray shading is 95% range).

327

FIG. 2. Post-drought survival depends on growth recovery (ρ in text). Growth rates for trees that died in the decade after drought (shaded) were lower than pre-drought levels in both the Appalachian Mountains (top) and the Piedmont Plateau (bottom). Trees that survived throughout the study (open) showed increased growth in years following drought on average. Vertical lines and horizontal bars show mean \pm SE and distribution intervals range over 95% of individuals.

FIG. 3. Drought-induced morbidity preceding tree death (χ in text). Post-drought growth morbidity prior to death varied among species, with trees of many species showing cumulative depressions in growth (positive morbidity values) compared to surviving conspecifics prior to mortality. Vertical lines and horizontal bars show mean \pm SE and distribution intervals range over 95% of individuals.

339

FIG. 4. Positive correlation between the average posterior post-drought morbidity index andspecies drought tolerance index. Dashed line shows a linear regression between indices.







Cumulative fraction of conspecific growth



